











APOL1 associated kidney diseases : Therapeutic Perspectives

ANJH 2024

B Knebelmann MD, PhD Department of Adult Nephrology Reference Center for Hereditary Kidney Diseases (MARHEA) ERKNet Center Necker Hospital bertrand.knebelmann@aphp.fr Université Paris Cité









Burden of End-Stage Kidney Disease

Adjusted ESKD Prevalence by Race



Kidney disease in male Black Americans



Hypertension-attributable ESRD

Discovery of the chromosome 22 locus and APOL1 risk variants





Identification of APOL1 risk variants

- Two risk variants (G1 and G2)
- Strong association with FSGS
- Strong association with H-ESRD



FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; H-ESRD, hypertension-attributed end-stage renal disease Kopp JB, et al. *Nat Genet*. 2008;40(10):1175–84; Genovese G, et al. *Science*. 2010;329(5993):841–5

Is hypertension a cause or a consequence of 'hypertensive' kidney disease?



AASK, African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension; FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus Parsa A, et al. *NEJM*. 2013;369(23):2183–96

Renal function decline is more rapid in patients with the APOL1 high-risk genotype versus those with low-risk genotype



APOL1 Variations in Patients with Steroid-Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome (SRNS) and/or FSGS

French multicenter cohort of patients with West Indies, French Guyana, or African ancestry



Age at onset of proteinuria

Gribouval O, et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2019;34:1885-1893.

In African American pts with FSGS, <u>steroid sensitivity</u> is similarly low in those with APOL1 high-risk or low-risk genotypes



NIH FSGS Genetic Study in the USA

^aSteroid sensitivity was defined as complete or partial remission in subjects who had received at least 8 week of daily or alternate-day steroid therapy. APOL1, apolipoprotein L1; FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; NIH, National Institutes of Health Kopp JB, et al. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;22:2129-2137.

APOL1 risk variants are associated with a decreased kidney disease remission: exploratory analysis from the NEPTUNE study

90 subjects with self-reported Black Proportion in complete remission 1.00 ancestry Strata: — Low-risk — High-risk and proteinuria $\geq 0.5 \text{ g/d}$ FSGS, MCD, and MN 0.75 enrolled at first biopsy for primary nephrotic syndrome 0.50 0.25 APOL1 high-risk genotype was 0.00 significantly associated with

~70% reduction in the probability of complete remission at any time, independent of histologic diagnosis



NEPTUNE study is a prospective, observational study that enrolls children and adults with FSGS, MCD, and MN; the primary outcome was a . composite measure of change in urinary protein excretion and change in renal function.

Sampson MG, et al. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016:27:814-823: NEPTUNE, https://repository.niddk.nih.gov/studies/neptune/, Accessed April 2022.

TWO APOL1 risk variants are associated with reduced kidney survival in patients with FSGS



Kidney survival in 92 African American patients with primary FSGS NIH FSGS Genetic Study

APOL1 Mediated Kidney Disease (AMKD): one gene, many diseases



Same variants, different phenotypes





Blood Pressure



APOL1 basics



High Risk ApoL1 genotypes



APOL1 HR frequency

- 50–60% of African Americans have at least one copy of G1 and/or G2
- Recessive mode of inheritance
- 12–15% of African Americans (4–5 million individuals) are high-risk homozygotes (HR)
- Variants nearly absent in European Americans
- Unusually large effect size for common variants



NEN study : intermediate analysis: Prevalence of HR genotype in FSGS and NDKD

MANY PARTICIPANTS HAVE TWO APOL1 VARIANTS

| Table 2. Percent of Participants with 2, 1, or 0 APOL1 Variants | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Number of APOL1 variants, n (%) | FSGS N = 511 | Proteinuric NDKD N = 1395 | Total N = 1906 | |
| 2 APOL1 variantsª | 215 (42.1) | 289 (20.7) | 504 (26.4) | |
| 1 APOL1 variant ^b | 107 (20.9) | 419 (30.0) | 526 (27.6) | |
| 0 APOL1 variants° | 189 (37.0) | 687 (49.2) | 876 (46.0) | |

| Table 3. Summary of APOL1 Genotypes | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| APOL1 genotype, n (%) | FSGS N = 511 | Proteinuric NDKD N = 1395 | Total N = 1906 | |
| 2 APOL1 variants | | | | |
| G1/G1 | 107 (20.9) | 139 (10.0) | 246 (12.9) | |
| G1/G2 | 86 (16.8) | 122 (8.7) | 208 (10.9) | |
| G2/G2 | 22 (4.3) | 28 (2.0) | 50 (2.6) | |

| Table 5. Summary of Participants with Two APOL1 Variants by Country or Region | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| G1/G1, G1/G2, or G2/G2 APOL1 genotype by region or country | FSGS N = 511 n/N' (%) | Proteinuric NDKD N = 1395 n/N' (%) | Total N = 1906 n/N' (%) |
| North America | | | |
| United States | 127/292 (43.5) | 226/1052 (21.5) | 353/1344 (26.3) |
| Europe | 73/143 (51.0) | 58/190 (30.5) | 131/333 (39.3) |
| United Kingdom | 49/79 (62.0) | 44/117 (37.6) | 93/196 (47.4) |
| France | 23/30 (76.7) | 4/28 (14.3) | 27/58 (46.6) |
| Spain | 0/22 | 1/27 (3.7) | 1/49 (2.0) |
| Portugal | 1/11 (9.1) | 4/11 (36.4) | 5/22 (22.7) |
| Netherlands | 0/1 | 2/4 (50.0) | 2/5 (40.0) |
| Belgium | 0 | 3/3 (100.0) | 3/3 (100.0) |
| South America | 15/76 (19.7) | 5/153 (3.3) | 20/229 (8.7) |
| Brazil | 11/62 (17.7) | 3/127 (2.4) | 14/189 (7.4) |
| Colombia | 4/14 (28.6) | 2/26 (7.7) | 6/40 (15.0) |

-13% of Black Americans carry two high-risk alleles

- in certain West African populations, the rate of HR genotypes may be as high as 20-25%

-15% of HR APOL1 genotype will develop ESKD

-5%-8%, will develop FSGS

-13% of Black Americans carry two high-risk alleles

- in certain West African populations, the rate of HR genotypes may be as high as 20-25%

-15% of HR APOL1 genotype will develop ESKD

-5%-8%, will develop FSGS

Are there genetic modifiers inluencing the appearance of kidney diseases in HR Apol1 inividuals?

genetics of kidney disease

Variant upon variant: kidney-disease risk associated with APOL1 G2 genetic variants is abrogated by the APOL1 p.N264K variant

Sethu M. Madhavan¹ and Johannes Schlöndorff¹

-Million Veteran Program (121,492 participants of African ancestry) -Vanderbilt University Medical, Center Biobank (BioVU), -National Institutes of Health All of Us Research Program cohorts (Hung et al. ; top box),

(FSGS) case– control cohort and CKD case–control cohort derived from REGARDS (REasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke) and eMERGE-III (Electronic Medical Records and Genomics Phase III) The APOL1 p.N264K variant in the background of the G2 risk allele reduces the risk of FSGS, CKD and ESKD in individuals w/ APOL1 HR genotypes G1/G2 or G2/G2.



print & web 4C/FPO



A

% Podocyte Viability

100

75

50

25

0

- G0

- G1 ▲ G2

2000000000000000

Genetic Inhibition of APOL1 Pore-Forming Function **Prevents APOL1-Mediated Kidney Disease**

Adriana M. Hung . 1.2 Victoria A. Assimon, Hua-Chang Chan . 1.4 Zhihong Yu, 1.4 Caitlyn Vlasschaert,* Jefferson L, Triozzi ;,* Helen Chan,* Lee Wheless ;,* Otis Wilson,** Shahja C. Shah (a,⁷, Taraiyan Mack (a,^{1,4}) Trevor Thompson (a,², Michael E, Matheny (a,^{1,4}) Saranya Chandrasekar (a,³) Sahar V. Mozaffari (a,³) Cecilia P. Chung, ⁵⁰ Philip Tsao (a,^{11,12}) Kataān Susztak (), ¹² Edward D. Siew(), ^{1,2} Karol Estrada, ² J. Michael Gaziano, ^{16,13} Robert R. Graham(), ³ Ran Tao(), ¹⁴ Maarten Hoek(), ¹ Cassianne Robinson-Cohen, ² Eric M. Green(), ³ and Alexander G. Bick(), ¹¹⁴ for the Million Veteran Program*

> APOL1 variants G1/G2 are toxic when overexpressed in human immortalized podocytes But much less N246K

> > GONZENT GINZENT 0.1 10 100 1000 1 [Doxycycline] ng/mL С × G2, -DOX G2 N264K, -DOX 2 2 - G2, +DOX ▼ G2 N264K, +DOX Fluorescence (AF/F_o) 1 × ж 0 -2.6 -2.4 -2.2 -3.2 -3.0 -3.2 -3.0 -2.8 -2.8 -2.6

в

Podocyte Viability

2 20

log[Calcium] M

100

80

60

40

0

62 N26AN

-2.4 -2.2

G2 APOL1-mediated calcium transit is blocked by the N246K mutation in HEK cells.



Genetic Inhibition of APOL1 Pore-Forming Function Prevents APOL1-Mediated Kidney Disease

Adriana M. Hung ^{1,12} Victoria A. Assimon,³ Hua-Chang Chen ^{1,14} Zhihong Yu,^{1,4} Caitlyn Vlasschaert, ¹ Jefferson L. Triozzi ¹,² Helen Chan,³ Lee Wheless ¹,³ Ots Wilson,¹² Shalija C. Shah,³,⁷ Taralynn Mack ¹,¹⁴ Trevor Thompson ³,² Michael E. Matheny ^{1,4,9} Saranya Chandraseker ³,³ Sohar V. Mozafferi ³, ³ Cecilia P. Chung,¹⁶ Philip Tsao,^{1,1,12} Kataân Susztak ¹,¹² Edward D. Siew ^{1,14} Karol Estrada,² J. Michael Gaziano,^{14,43} Robert R. Graham ³,³ Ran Tao ^{1,44} Maorten Hoek ³,¹⁴ Cassianne Robinson-Cohen,³ Eric M. Green ³,³ and Alexander G. Bick ¹⁴,¹⁴ for the Million Veteran Program

> APOL1 variants G1/G2 are toxic when overexpressed in human immortalized podocytes But much less N246K



log[Calcium] M

This human genetic observation supports that pharmacologic inhibitors that mimics this genetic mutation by blocking the APOL1 pore formation and ion channel conduction may be able to prevent and/or treat APOL1-associated kidney disease.

5 questions about APOL1 origins and basic biology

- Why are the APOL1 variants restricted to people of recent African Ancestry?
- Why are these highly deleterious variants so common?
- Are APOL1 risk variants loss- or gain-of-function?
- Why do some people with the high-risk genotype get kidney disease while others do not?
- How do APOL1 risk variants injure kidney cells?

Why are these highly deleterious variants so common?



APOL1 risk variants protect against African trypanosomiasis

Why are these highly deleterious variants so common?

APOL1 risk variants protect against African trypanosomiasis





Are APOL1 risk variants loss- or gain-of-function?



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

BRIEF REPORT

Human Trypanosoma evansi Infection Linked to a Lack of Apolipoprotein L-I

Benoit Vanhollebeke, Eng., Philippe Truc, Ph.D., Philippe Poelvoorde, M.Sc., Annette Pays, M.Sc., Prashant P. Joshi, M.D., Ravindra Katti, M.D., Jean G. Jannin, M.D., and Etienne Pays, Ph.D.

SUMMARY

Humans have innate immunity against Trypanasoma brucei brucei that is known to involve apolipoprotein L-I (APOL1). Recently, a case of T. evansi infection in a human was identified in India. We investigated whether the APOL1 pathway was involved in this occurrence. The serum of the infected patient was found to have no trypanolytic activity, and the finding was linked to the lack of APOL1, which was due to frameshift mutations in both APOL1 alleles. Trypanolytic activity was restored by the addition of recombinant APOL1. The lack of APOL1 explained the patient's infection with T. evansi.

The APOL1 gene exists only in humans and some primates; humans null for APOL1 have normal kidneys ++

APOL1 risk variants are gain-of-function



Overexpression of APOL1 RV (but not G0) are toxic in cells and mimics FSGS in mice

Why do only some people with the APOL1 high-risk genotype get disease?



APOL1 high-risk genotype

Second hit?



APOL1 kidney disease

Beckerman P, et al. Nat Med. 2017;23(4):429-8

Why do only some people with the APOL1 high-risk genotype get disease?



Both the high-risk genotype and elevated APOL1 expression are likely needed to cause kidney disease

Interferon turns on APOL1 expression and causes kidney injury



G0/G0 mouse

AKI and Collapsing Glomerulopathy Associated with COVID-19 and APOL1 HIGH-RISK Genotype

METHODS OUTCOMES "Cytokine storm" Collapsing 6 black patients with COVID-19, APOL1 variants glomerulopathy Chemokines AKI, and proteinuria Immunoglobulins Fc receptors MHC II 4/6 – G1/G1 No direct infection 1/6 - G1/G2No virions by EM 1/6 - G2/G2Neg SARS-CoV2 ISH **Key Lab Values** Neg SARS NanoString Underwent kidney biopsy Serum Cr: 6.5 (2.9 to 11.4) mg/dL UPCR: 11.5 (3.6 to 25.0) g/g 1/6 recovered 2/6 death 4/6 required dialysis **Genetic testing & CONCLUSION:** SARS-CoV-2 infection can trigger collapsing glomerulopathy in **RNA** expression patients with 2 APOL1 risk variants, causing AKI and nephrotic-range proteinuria in analysis patients of African ancestry with COVID-19.

JCI insight

JAK inhibitor blocks COVID-19 cytokine–induced JAK/STAT/APOL1 signaling in glomerular cells and podocytopathy in human kidney organoids

Sarah E. Nystrom, ... , David B. Thomas, Opeyemi A. Olabisi

JCI Insight. 2022;7(11):e157432. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.157432.



Cytokines storm induces Apol1 expression in GEC and Podocytes

А





....And activates the JAK-STAT pathway



COVID-19-induced cytokines are sufficient to drive APOL1 expression in human iPSC kidney micro-organoids, which is blocked by inhibition of the JAK/STAT/APOL1 axis (Baricitinib)



| Control | APOL1 | PODXL | E-Cudherin | Around a second se |
|---------------------------|-------|---------|------------|--|
| IFNy | | 1 . S | | |
| IFNy+baricitinib | | | | |
| All Cytokines | Ø | 112 A | 63 | |
| All Cytokines+baricitinib | | · · · · | No. | |

Cytokine-induced APOL1 expression correlates with significantly decreased viability and cellular metabolism in organoid-derived podocytes (G1G2)



Conclusion: JAK/STAT pathway inhibition as a new target for Apol1 assocaited kidney disease





Current theories of the mechanisms of APOL1-induced cytotoxicity

JCT The Journal of Clinical Investigation

APOL1-mediated monovalent cation transport contributes to APOL1-mediated podocytopathy in kidney disease

Somenath Datta, ... , Christopher B. Newgard, Opeyemi A. Olabisi

J Clin Invest, 2024;134(5):e172262, https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI172262.



Cell swelling is inhibited by VX-147



G1 induced Cell death Is rescued by VX-147



Electron micrograph of APOL1 G1 transgenic mice.



Control

IFNy

process effacement (white arrow), microvillous transformation (black arrow), and cytoplasmic shedding (white arrowhead). Treatment with VX- 147 (C) rescued the cellular phenotypes.

FNγ-treated mice (B) have focal podocyte foot

IFNy + VX-147

Proposed mechanism of APOL1 RRVs-induced cytotoxicity





Known or potential drivers of progressive disease

Risk of chronic kidney disease

Accelerated time to end-stage kidney disease

Therapeutic considerations

Challenges:

- Exact mechanism unclear
- Normal function unknown
- Cell type not certain

Advantages:

- Gain-of-function
- Not essential for kidney function
- Genetically-validated
- Modulate activity at many levels

Potential Therapies for Apol1 associated Kidney Diseases





Inaxaplin for Proteinuric Kidney Disease in Persons with Two APOL1 Variants

O. Egbuna, B. Zimmerman, G. Manos, A. Fortier, M.C. Chirieac, L.A. Dakin, D.J. Friedman, K. Bramham, K. Campbell, B. Knebelmann, L. Barisoni, R.J. Falk, D.S. Gipson, M.S. Lipkowitz, A. Ojo, M.E. Bunnage, M.R. Pollak, D. Altshuler, and G.M. Chertow, for the VX19-147-101 Study Group[±]

EDITORIALS

THE NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL & MEDICINE

SCIENCE BEHIND THE STUDY

Inhibiting APOL1 to Treat Kidney Disease

Winfred W. Williams, M.D., and Julie R. Ingelfinger, M.D.

EDITORIALS



A Step Forward for Precision Equity in Kidney Disease

Neil R. Powe, M.D.

NEJM, 388;11 nejm.org March 16, 2023

NEJM, 388;11 nejm.org March 16, 2023

In vitro, VX-147 inhibits ApoL1 mediated Thallium flux



Egbuna O et al, n engl j med 388;11 nejm.org March 16, 2023

VX-147 decreases INF induced Proteinuria in Apol1 G2 homozygotes mice



VX-147 PHASE 2 PROOF OF CONCEPT STUDY OVERVIEW



©2021 Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated

global.vrtx.com 10

| Characteristic | Total (N = 16) | Participants with Nephrotic-Range Proteinuria (N=3) | Participants with Subnephrotic-Range Proteinuria (N = 13) |
|--|-------------------|--|--|
| Age — yr | 38.8±14.5 | 45.0±10.5 | 37.3±15.2 |
| Sex — no. (%) | | | |
| Male | 7 (44) | 1 (33) | 6 (46) |
| Female | 9 (56) | 2 (67) | 7 (54) |
| APOL1 genotype — no. (%) | | | |
| G1/G1 | 9 (56) | 3 (100) | 6 (46) |
| G2/G2 | 1 (6) | 0 | 1 (8) |
| G1/G2 | 6 (38) | 0 | 6 (46) |
| Body-mass index | 29.6±6.4 | 32.7±6.4 | 28.9±6.4 |
| Urinary protein-to-creatinine ratio† | 2.08±0.90 | 3.47±1.07 | 1.77±0.49 |
| Estimated GFR — ml/min/1.73 m ² | 51.2±14.0 | 51.4±22.2 | 51.2±12.8 |
| Standard-care medication | | | |
| ACE inhibitor | | | |
| ≥28 days before day 1 — no. (%) | 8 (50) | 1 (33) | 7 (54) |
| On day 1 — no./total no. (%) | 8/8 (100) | 1/1 (100) | 7/7 (100) |
| Angiotensin-receptor blocker | | | |
| ≥28 days before day 1 — no. (%) | 7 (44) | 3 (100) | 4 (31) |
| On day 1 — no./ total no. (%) | 6/7 (86) | 2/3 (67)‡ | 4/4 (100) |
| Immunosuppressants§ | | | |
| ≥28 days before day 1 — no. (%) | 4 (25) | 1 (33) | 3 (23) |
| | | | |

Figure 2. Efficacy Outcomes: Data for 13 evaluable participants



| Variable | Total (N≈13) | Participants with Nephrotic-Range Proteinuria (N=3) | Participants with Subnephrotic-Range Proteinuria (N = 10) |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Mean urinary protein-to-creatinine ratio | | | |
| At baseline | 2.21±0.95 | 3.47±1.07 | 1.84±0.52 |
| At wk 13 | 1.27±0.73 | 1.83±0.58 | 1.10 ± 0.71 |
| Geometric percent change from baseline at wk 13 (95% CI) | -47.6 (-60.0 to -31_3) | -47.7 (-70.1 to -8.5) | -47.5 (-63.4 to -24.6) |

* Plus-minus values are means ±5D. Baseline and week 13 assessments of the uninary protein-to-creatinine ratio for each of the participants were calculated as the mean of three first-morning void measurements obtained within a 7-day window. The efficacy analysis set included all the participants who completed inaxaplin treatment and had at least 80% adherence to treatment. CI denotes confidence interval. -47%

Wk-13



Part B : 9 participants

- Mean UPCR increased from -47.6% to -30.1% at week 4
- and remained stable untill wk 12

Very good safety profile in phase 2

| Table 3. Adverse Events.* | | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Event | Total (N=16) | |
| Any adverse event† | 15 (94) | |
| Adverse events according to severity | | |
| Mild | 7 (44) | |
| Moderate | 8 (50) | |
| Severe | 0 | |
| Life-threatening | 0 | |
| Serious adverse event‡ | 1 (6) | |
| Adverse event leading to treatment discon- tinuation | 0 | |
| Adverse event occurring in ≥2 participants | | |
| Headache | 4 (25) | |
| Back pain | 3 (19) | |
| Nausea | 3 (19) | |
| Decrease in blood bicarbonate level | 2 (12) | |
| Diarrhea | 2 (12) | |
| Dizziness | 2 (12) | |
| Dyspepsia | 2 (12) | |
| Fatigue | 2 (12) | |

APOL1-MEDIATED KIDNEY DISEASE IS A GENETICALLY DEFINED CONDITION

APOL1-mediated kidney disease includes different clinical/histological presentations with the same genetic cause



@2021 Vertex Pharmaceuticals incorporated

global.vrbx.com 8

Design of the Phase 2/3 AMPLITUDE Adaptive Clinical Trial



©2023 Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated

Clinical Trial Design



APOL1: Apolipoprotein L1; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; FSGS: focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; RAS: renin-anglotensin system; SOC: standard-of-care; SGLT2: sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; UPCR: urlne protein to creatinine ratio

VX21-147-301 study

ENDPOINTS

Primary

- Percent change in UPCR from baseline at Week 48 (assessed at the IA)
- eGFR slope (with ≥48 weeks of eGFR data assessed at the IA and at least 2 years of eGFR data assessed at the final analysis)

Secondary

- Time to composite clinical outcome of:
 - Sustained* decline of ≥30% in eGFR from baseline
 - Onset of ESKD:
 - Maintenance dialysis for ≥28 days
 - Kidney transplantation
 - Sustained* eGFR of <15 ml/min/1.73m²
 - Death
- Safety and tolerability based on AEs, clinical laboratory values (i.e., hematology, serum chemistry, coagulation studies, urinalysis), standard 12-lead ECGs, and vital signs
- Plasma PK parameters of VX-147

* "Sustained" is defined as confirmation by a second measurement after ≥28 days





Vertex Advances Inaxaplin (VX-147) into Phase 3 Portion of Adaptive Phase 2/3 Clinical Trial for the Treatment of APOL1-Mediated Kidney Disease

April 1, 2024

- 45 mg once daily oral dose selected for Phase 3- (IDMC)

- Results support trial expansion to lower age group and study will now include adolescents ages 10-17 years -

- If positive, pre-planned interim analysis at Week 48 may serve as the basis for accelerated approval in the U.S.-

& The FDA has granted inaxaplin Rare Pediatric Disease Designation (RPD) and Breakthrough Therapy Designation (BTD) for APOL1-mediated focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS).

& The EMA has also granted inaxaplin Priority Medicines (PRIME) and Orphan Drug designations for AMKD

Potential Therapies for Apol1 associated KD



Molecular Therapy Original Article



Antisense oligonucleotides ameliorate kidney dysfunction in podocyte-specific APOL1 risk variant mice

Ya-Wen Yang,^{12,0} Bibek Poudel,^{1,0} Julia Frederick,¹ Poonam Dhillon,¹ Rojesh Shrestha,¹ Ziyuan Ma,¹ Junnan Wu,¹ Koji Okanioto,¹ Jeffrey B, Kopp,³ Sheri L, Booten,¹ Danielle Gattis,⁴ Andrew T, Watt,⁴ Matthew Palmer,¹ Mariam Aghajan,⁴ and Katalin Susztak¹





LAPOL1 ASO improves glomerulosclerosis and fibrosis in NEFTA/G2APOL1 transgenic mice



Figure 2. APOL1 ASO1 improves kidney function parameters in NEFTA/ G2APOL1 transgenic mice



Abstract citation ID: gfae069.695

ERA 2024

^{#1003} Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of multiple ascending doses of AZD2373, an antisense oligonucleotide targeting APOL1

Peter J. Greasley¹, Nikhil Agrawal², Magnus Althage³, Jose Sanchez⁴, Sarah Kirk⁵, Erlend Johannessen Egeland⁶, Magnus Astrand⁶, Helena Westergren⁷, James Sherwood⁸, Michael Mccarthy⁹, Uptal Patel² and Jain Macphee⁴⁰

-Phase 1, randomized, single-blind, placebo-controlled study (NCT05351047) in healthy male volunteers of West African ancestry

-18 participants w/1 or 2 copies of G1/G2

-Low, medium and high dose cohorts with 8 participants

- 6 weekly SC injections of AZD2373 (n = 6) or placebo (n = 2) followed up for 9-weeks post-last dose.



Figure: Geometric mean (90% CI) percentage change from baseline in plasma APOL1 concentration (µg/m1) versus time by dose group.

- No major safety and tolerability concerns

Potential Therapies for Apol1 associated KD



RECRUITING

Janus Kinase-STAT Inhibition to Reduce APOL1 Associated Kidney Disease (JUSTICE)

ClinicalTrials.gov ID ④ NCT05237388 Sponsor ④ Duke University Information provided by ④ Duke University (Responsible Party) Last Update Posted ① 2024-03-21

Inclusion Criteria:

•Adults 18-70 years

•High Risk APOL1 genotype (i.e., G1G1, G2G2, or G1G2)

•FSGS diagnosed by kidney biopsy or clinically diagnosed HTN-CKD

•UACR ≥300 mg/dL

•Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≥26 ml/min/1.73 m2 at screening

•Stable antihypertensive regimen for \geq 1 month prior to enrolment

PEP: Percent change in albuminuria (UACR) SEP:

Percent change in eGFR (for 6 months]

Percent change in urine CXCL 9-11

Number of adverse events as measured by patient report

Number of adverse events (hemoglobin less than 9.5g/dL)

Genetic Testing for APOL1

Who should be tested?

- FSGS/SRNS
- ESKD of unknown etiology in patients <50 years + proteinuria
- "Hypertensive " CKD
- HIV/COVID-associated nephropathy?
- Lupus nephritis with collapsing nephropathy/CKD?
- Sickle cell disease with proteinuria/CKD?

Advantages of APOL1 genotyping

- APOL1 testing may help a clinician understand the etiology of a patient's kidney disease
- Institute preventive measures for the progression of kidney disease
- Predict kidney function, course of treatment, and disease progression
- May be informative in patients with a familial history of CKD
- Identify patients for enrollment in clinical trials

Genetic Testing for APOL1

Who should be tested?

- FSGS/SRNS
- ESKD of unknown etiology in patients <50 years + proteinuria
- "Hypertensive " CKD
- HIV/COVID-associated nephropathy?
- Lupus nephritis with collapsing nephropathy/CKD?
- Sickle cell disease with proteinuria/CKD?

Advantages of APOL1 genotyping

- APOL1 testing may help a clinician understand the etiology of a patient's kidney disease
- Institute preventive measures for the progression of kidney disease
- Predict kidney function, course of treatment, and disease progression
- May be informative in patients with a familial history of CKD
- Identify patients for enrollment in clinical trials

Living kidney donors ?

Decision related to kidney transplant

1. KDIGO Glomerular Diseases Clinical Practice Guideline 2021.; 2. Knoers N, et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2022;37:239-254; 3. Friedman DJ and Pollak MR. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;16:294-303; 4. Young BA, et al. Semin Nephrol. 2017;37:552-557; 5. Freedman BI, et al. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;32:1765-1778.